

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,539	09/12/2006	Simon Howard Wigglesworth	06-086	5915
2006 MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 S. WACKER DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, DINH Q	
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHC100, 12 0000			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/566,539 WIGGLESWORTH ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Dinh Q. Nauven 3752 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.4.6 and 9-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6 and 9-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/01/08

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/566,539

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 9-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

because the specification, while being enabling for "a solar panel", does not reasonably provide enablement for "a separating member is provided which separates the vessel into an upper water containing portion and a lower water containing chamber, the separating member carrying the solar panel; the water pump is positioned in the lower chamber of the vessel below the member and solar panel; and a return path is provided for water from the upper portion of the vessel to the lower chamber of the vessel.", "a peripheral ridge", "a removable insert", and "a space between the separating member and a wall of the vessel". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicant amended the claims in an attempt to overcome the rejections of the Office Action dated June 01, 2007, the amendment dated November 30, 2007 amended claims 1, 6 and added new claims 12-22, the amendment introducing new matter as noted above. Applicant failed to show the relationship between the specification and the newly added limitations. Application also failed to show the support of the specification toward the newly added claims 12-22.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/566,539

Art Unit: 3752

For the purpose of this Office action, the claims will be examined as best understood by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 9-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomoyoshi. (JP 08196966) in view of Lin as best understood by the examiner.

Tomoyoshi teaches all the limitations of the claims except for dividing the vessel into two chambers. However, Lin discloses a water feature having a vessel 10 with a supported peripheral ridge for containing water, a separating member 40 that separates the vessel 10 into an upper water containing portion (with float 50) and acted as an insert, a lower water containing portion, an electrically powered submersible water pump 30 mounted to the separating member, a return path (see column 2, lines 36+), a series of undisclosed apertures on the bottom of member 40 could be utilized for the water return path (as shown in figure 1); and the water pump 30 is electrically connected by means of a submerged, water tight separate connection in the lower chamber with battery 20 located in a water tight chamber 11 (see figure 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Tomoyoshi with a water feature having two chambers as suggested by Lin.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,539

Art Unit: 3752

Doing so would provide a way for recycling the flowing water and such modification is merely the use of known technique to improve a similar device.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed November 20, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The amendment dated November 20, 2007 amended claims 1, 6 and added new claims 12-22, the amendment introducing new matter as noted above. The Examiner rejecting the claims in view of the new matter as best understood.
- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 9-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection and as best understood by the Examiner in view of the new matter as indicated above.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,539
Art Unit: 3752

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dinh Q Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752